arXiv:math-ph/0512082v1 22 Dec 2005

Aspects of Diffeomorphism Invariant Theory of Extended Objects I: The Relativistic Particle and its d-brane Cousins

V. G. Gueorguiev*
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550

General structure of classical reparametrization-invariant matter systems, mainly the relativistic particle and its *d*-brane generalization, are studied. The exposition is in close analogy with the relativistic particle in an electromagnetic field as reparametrization-invariant system. The structure of a diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangian action for an extended object (*d*-brane) embedded in a bulk space M is discussed. Our construction uses first order homogeneous Lagrangians to achieve general covariance in contrast to the constructions that use scalar Lagrangians along with metric dependent integration measure. The framework contains intrinsically the relativistic point particle, string theory, and Dirac-Nambu-Goto Lagrangians. In a natural way, the matter Lagrangian contains background interaction fields, such as a 1-form field, analogous to the electromagnetic vector potential, and a metric tensor. The framework naturally suggests new classical interaction fields beyond electromagnetism and gravity. Construction of aninteraction field Lagrangian that is background free and consistent with the gauge symmetries presented in the equations of motion for the matter is outlined.

Keywords: diffeomorphism invariant systems, reparametrization-invariant matter systems, matter Lagrangian, homogeneous singular Lagrangians, relativistic particle, string theory, extended objects, d-branes, interaction fields, classical forces beyond electromagnetism and gravity, generally covariant theory, gauge symmetries, background free theories.

PACS numbers: 03.50.-z, 04.90.+e, 11.10. Ef, 11. 25.-w, 11.90.+t, 95.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

Probing and understanding physical reality goes through a classical interface that shapes our thoughts as classical causality chains. Therefore, understanding the essential mathematical constructions in classical mechanics and classical field theory is important, even though quantum mechanics and quantum field theory are regarded as more fundamental than their classical counterparts. Two approaches, the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian, are very useful in physics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In general, there is a transformation that relates these two approaches. For a reparametrization-invariant theory, however, there are problems in changing from Lagrangian to the Hamiltonian approach [2, 3, 4, 6, 7].

Fiber bundles provide the mathematical framework for classical mechanics, field theory, and even quantum mechanics if viewed as a classical field theory. Parallel transport, covariant differentiation, and gauge symmetry are very important structures [8] associated with fiber bundles. When asking: "What structures are important to physics?", we should also ask: "Why one fiber bundle should be more 'physical' than another?", "Why does the 'physical' base manifold seem to be a four-dimensional Lorentzian manifold?" [9, 10, 11], and "How should one construct an action integral for a given fiber bundle?" [1, 5, 12, 13, 14]. Starting with the tangent or cotangent bundle seems natural because these bundles are related to the notion of a classical point-like matter. Since we accrue and test our knowledge via experiments that involve classical apparatus, the physically accessible fields should be generated by matter and should couple to matter as well. Therefore, the matter Lagrangian should contain the interaction fields, not their derivatives, with which classical matter interacts [15].

In this paper, we discuss the properties of a reparametrization-invariant matter system. We use the relativistic particle [6, 8, 12, 16] to illustrate the main ideas and their generalization to extended objects (*d*-branes). We try to find the answer to the question: "What is the Lagrangian for matter?" Our matter Lagrangian naturally contains background interaction fields, such as a 1-form field, analogous to the electromagnetic vector potential, and a metric tensor that is usually related to gravity. We also discuss the guiding principles needed in the construction of the Lagrangians for the interaction fields.

In Section II, the Lagrangian for a relativistic particle is given as an example of a reparametrization-invariant action. In Section III we argue in favor of first order homogeneous Lagrangians. Section IV discuses the physical implication of such Lagrangians, in particular, the possibility of classical forces beyond electromagnetism and gravity. Section V considers a possible generalization to *D*-dimensional extended objects (*d*-branes). Section VI contains a review of the field Lagrangians relevant for the interaction fields. Our conclusions and discussions are given in Section VII.

---

*On leave of absence from Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria.

II. THE MATTER LAGRANGIAN FOR THE RELATIVISTIC PARTICLE

From everyday experience, we know that localized particles move with a finite 3D speed. In an extended configuration space (4D space-time), when time is added as a coordinate ($x^0 = ct$), particles move with a constant 4-velocity ($v \cdot v = constant$). The 4-velocity is constant due to its definition $v^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$ that uses the invariance of the proper time (τ) mathematically defined via a metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ ($d\tau^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{v}$). In this case, the action for a massive relativistic particle has a nice geometrical meaning: the 'distance' along the particle trajectory [8]:

$$S_1 = \int d\tau L_1(x,v) = \int d\tau \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu}}, \tag{1}$$

$$\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu}} \to 1 \Rightarrow S_1 = \int d\tau.$$

However, for massless particles, such as photons, the length of the 4-velocity is zero ($g_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu} = 0$). Thus one has to use a different Lagrangian to avoid problems due to division by zero when evaluating the final Euler-Lagrange equations. The appropriate 'good' action is [8]:

$$S_2 = \int L_2(x,v)d\tau = \int g_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu}d\tau. \tag{2}$$

Notice that the Euler-Lagrange equations obtained from S_1 and S_2 are equivalent, and both are equivalent to the geodesic equation as well:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\vec{v} = D_{\vec{v}}\vec{v} = v^{\beta}\nabla_{\beta}\vec{v} = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$v^{\beta}\left(\frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}v^{\gamma}\right) = 0.$$

In general relativity the Levi-Civita connection ∇_{β}, with Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = g^{\alpha\rho}\left(g_{\beta\gamma,\rho} - g_{\rho\beta,\gamma} - g_{\rho\gamma,\beta}\right)/2$, preserves the length of the vectors ($\nabla g(\vec{v},\vec{v}) = 0$) [8]. Therefore, these equivalences are not surprising because the Lagrangians in ((1) and (2)) are functions of the preserved arc length $g(\vec{v},\vec{v}) = \vec{v}^2$. However, the parallel transport for a general connection ∇_{β} does not have to preserve the length of a general vector.

The equivalence between S_1 and S_2 is very robust. Since L_2 is a homogeneous function of order 2 with respect to $\vec{v}$, the corresponding Hamiltonian function ($h = v\partial L/\partial v - L$) is exactly equal to L ($h(x,v) = L(x,v)$). Thus L_2 is conserved, and so is the length of $\vec{v}$. Any homogeneous Lagrangian in $\vec{v}$ of order $n \neq 1$ is conserved because $h = (n-1)L$. When $dL/d\tau = 0$, then one can show that the Euler-Lagrange equations for L and $\tilde{L} = f(L)$ are equivalent under certain minor restrictions on f. This is an interesting type of equivalence that applies to homogeneous Lagrangians ($L(\beta v) = \beta^n L(v)$). It is different from the usual equivalence $L \to \tilde{L} = L + d\Lambda/d\tau$ or the more general equivalence discussed in ref. [17]. Any solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\tilde{L} = L^{\alpha}$ would conserve $L = L_1$ since $\tilde{h} = (\alpha - 1)L^{\alpha}$. All these solutions are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation for L as well; thus $L^{\alpha} \subset L$. In general, conservation of L_1 is not guaranteed since $L_1 \to L_1 + d\Lambda/d\tau$ is also a homogeneous Lagrangian of order one equivalent to L_1. This suggests that there may be a choice of Λ, a "gauge fixing", so that $L_1 + d\Lambda/d\tau$ is conserved even if L_1 is not. The above discussion applies to any homogeneous Lagrangian.

III. HOMOGENEOUS LAGRANGIANS OF FIRST ORDER

Suppose we don't know anything about classical physics, which is mainly concerned with trajectories of point particles in some space M, but we are told we can derive it from a variational principle if we use the right action integral $S = \int L d\tau$. By following the above example we wonder: "should the smallest 'distance' be the guiding principle?" when constructing L. If yes, "How should it be defined for other field theories?" It seems that a reparametrization-invariant theory can provide us with a metric-like structure [6], and thus a possible link between field models and geometric models [18].

In the example of the relativistic particle, the Lagrangian and the trajectory parameterization have a geometrical meaning. In general, however, parameterization of a trajectory is quite arbitrary for any observer. If there is a smallest time interval that sets a space-time scale, then this would imply a discrete space-time structure since there may not be any events in the smallest time interval. The Planck scale is often considered to be such an essential scale [19]. Leaving aside recent hints for quantum space-time from loop quantum gravity and other theories , we ask: "Should there be any preferred trajectory parameterization in a smooth 4D space-time?" and "Aren't we free to choose the standard of distance (time, using natural units $c = 1$)?" If so, then *we should have a smooth continuous manifold and our theory should not depend on the choice of parameterization.*

If we examine the Euler-Lagrange equations carefully:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^{\alpha}}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \tag{4}$$

we notice that any homogeneous Lagrangian of order n ($L(x,\alpha\vec{v}) = \alpha^n L(x,\vec{v})$) provides a reparametrization invariance of the equations under the transformations $\tau \to \tau/\alpha, \vec{v} \to \alpha\vec{v}$. Next, note that the action S involves an integration that is a natural structure for orientable manifolds (M) with an n-form of the volume. Since a trajectory is a one-dimensional object, then what we are looking at is an embedding $\phi : \mathbb{R}^1 \to M$. This means that we push forward the tangential space $\phi_* : T(\mathbb{R}^1) = \mathbb{R}^1 \to T(M)$, and pull back the cotangent space $\phi^* : T(\mathbb{R}^1) = \mathbb{R}^1 \leftarrow T^*(M)$. Thus a 1-form ω on M that is in $T^*(M)$ ($\omega = A_\mu(x)\, dx^\mu$) will be pulled back on $\mathbb{R}^1$ ($\phi^*(\omega)$) and there it should be proportional to the volume form on $\mathbb{R}^1$ ($\phi^*(\omega) = A_\mu(x)\,(dx^\mu/d\tau)d\tau \sim d\tau$), allowing us to integrate $\int \phi^*(\omega)$:

$$\int \phi^*(\omega) = \int L d\tau = \int A_\mu(x)\, v^\mu d\tau.$$

Therefore, by selecting a 1-form $\omega = A_\mu(x)\, dx^\mu$ on M and using $L = A_\mu(x)\, v^\mu$ we are actually solving for the embedding $\phi : \mathbb{R}^1 \to M$ using a chart on M with coordinates $x : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. The Lagrangian obtained this way is homogeneous of first order in v with a very simple dynamics. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation is $F_{\nu\mu}v^\mu = 0$ where F is a 2-form ($F = dA$); in electrodynamics this is the Faraday's tensor. If we relax the assumption that L is a pulled back 1-form and assume that it is just a homogeneous Lagrangian of order one, then we find a reparametrization-invariant theory that may have an interesting dynamics.

A. Pros and Cons About Homogeneous Lagrangians of First Order

Although, most of the features listed below are more or less self-evident, it is important to compile a list of properties of the homogeneous Lagrangians of first order in the velocity $\vec{v}$.

Some of the good properties of a theory with a first order homogeneous Lagrangian are:

(1) First of all, the action $S = \int L(x, \frac{dx}{d\tau})d\tau$ is a reparametrization invariant.

(2) For any Lagrangian $L(x, \frac{dx}{dt})$ one can construct a reparametrization-invariant Lagrangian by enlarging the space to an extended space-time [2]: $L(x, \frac{dx}{dt}) \to L(x, \frac{dx}{dt})\frac{dt}{d\tau}$. However, it is an open question whether there is a full equivalence of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations.

(3) Parameterization-independent path-integral quantization could be possible since the action S is reparametrization invariant.

(4) The reparametrization invariance may help in dealing with singularities [20].

(5) It is easily generalized to D-dimensional extended objects (d-branes) that is the subject of Section V.

The list of trouble-making properties in a theory with a first order homogeneous Lagrangian includes:

(1) There are constraints among the Euler-Lagrange equations [2], since $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta}\right) = 0$.

(2) It follows that the Legendre transformation ($T(M) \leftrightarrow T^*(M)$), which exchanges velocity and momentum coordinates $(x, v) \leftrightarrow (x, p)$, is problematic [3].

(3) There is a problem with the canonical quantization approach since the Hamiltonian function is identically ZERO ($h \equiv 0$) [4].

Constraints among the equations of motion are not an insurmountable problem since there are procedures for quantizing such theories [4, 21, 22, 23, 24]. For example, instead of using $h \equiv 0$ one can use some of the constraint equations available, or a conserved quantity, as Hamiltonian for the quantization procedure [4]. Changing coordinates $(x, v) \leftrightarrow (x, p)$ seems to be difficult, but it may be resolved in some special cases by using the assumption that a gauge Λ has been chosen so that $L \to L + \frac{d\Lambda}{d\tau} = \tilde{L} = const$. We would not discuss the above-mentioned quantization troubles since they are outside of the scope of this paper. A new approach that resolves $h \equiv 0$ and naturally leads to a Dirac like equation is under investigation and subject of a forthcoming paper, for some preliminary details see ref. [25].

B. Canonical Form of the First Order Homogeneous Lagrangians

By now, we hope that the reader is puzzled, as we are, about the answer to the following question: "What is the general mathematical expression for first order homogeneous functions?" Below we define what we mean by the *canonical form of the first order homogeneous Lagrangian* and why we prefer such a mathematical expression.

First, note that any symmetric tensor of rank n ($S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n} = S_{[\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n]}$, where $[\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n]$ is an arbitrary permutation of the indexes) defines a homogeneous function of order n ($S_n(\vec{v}, ..., \vec{v}) = S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n}v^{\alpha_1}....v^{\alpha_n}$). The symmetric tensor of rank two is denoted by $g_{\alpha\beta}$. Using this notation, the canonical form of the first order homogeneous Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt[n]{S_n(\vec{v}, ..., \vec{v})} = \\ &= A_\alpha v^\alpha + \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} + ... \sqrt[m]{S_m(\vec{v}, ..., \vec{v})}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Whatever is the Lagrangian for matter, it should involve interaction fields that couple with the velocity $\vec{v}$ to a scalar. Thus we must have $L_{matter}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}; Fields\ \Psi)$. When the matter action is combined with the action ($\int \mathcal{L}[\Psi]dV$) for the interaction fields Ψ, we obtain a full *background independent theory*. Then the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations contain "dynamical derivatives" on the left hand side and sources on the right hand side:

$$\partial_\gamma\left(\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\gamma\Psi)}\right) = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\Psi} + \frac{\partial L_{matter}}{\partial\Psi}.$$

The advantage of the canonical form of the first order homogeneous Lagrangian (5) is that each interaction field, which is associated with a symmetric tensor, has a unique matter source that is a monomial in the velocities:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n}} = \frac{1}{n}\left(S_n(\vec{v},...,\vec{v})\right)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} v^{\alpha_1}....v^{\alpha_n}. \qquad (6)$$

There are many other ways one can write first-order homogeneous functions [6]. For example, one can consider the following expression $L(\vec{x},\vec{v}) = \left(h_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta\right)\left(g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta\right)^{-1/2}$ where h and g are seemingly different symmetric tensors. However, each of these fields (h and g) has the same source type ($\sim v^\alpha v^\beta$):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{L(\vec{x},\vec{v})}{h_{\gamma\rho}v^\gamma v^\rho}v^\alpha v^\beta, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{L(\vec{x},\vec{v})}{g_{\gamma\rho}v^\gamma v^\rho}v^\alpha v^\beta.$$

Theories with two metrics have been studied before [26, 27]. At this stage, however, we cannot find any good reason why the same source type should produce different fields. Therefore, we prefer the canonical form (5) for our discussion.

IV. CLASSICAL FORCES BEYOND ELECTROMAGNETISM AND GRAVITY

The aim of this paper is to set the stage for diffeomorphism invariant mechanics of extended objects by close analogy with the relativistic point particle. However, it is important that we understand the new terms in the canonical expression of the first order homogenous Lagrangians (5). In this respect this section discusses the implications of such interaction terms beyond electromagnetism and gravity as given by the canonical expression of the first order homogeneous Lagrangians (5).

First, we point out that one can circumvent the linear dependence, ($\det(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta}) = 0$) due to the reparametrization symmetry, of the equations of motion derived from $L = \sqrt[n]{S_n(\vec{v},...,\vec{v})}$ by adding an extra set of equations ($\frac{dL}{d\tau} = 0$). This way the equations of motion derived from $L = \sqrt[n]{S_n(\vec{v},...,\vec{v})}$ and $\frac{dL}{d\tau} = 0$ are equivalent to the equations of motion derived from $L = S_n(\vec{v},...,\vec{v})$. This is similar to the discussion at the end of Section II. As noticed before, this is a specific choice of parametrization such that $v^\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}(x) v^\beta$ is constant. Indeed, if we start with the re-parametrization invariant Lagrangian $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + m\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v^\alpha v^\beta}$ and define **proper time** τ such that: $d\tau = \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta} \Rightarrow \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = 1$. Then we can effectively consider $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + (m+\chi)\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} - \chi$ as our model Lagrangian. Here χ is a Lagrange multiplier to enforce $\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = 1$ that breaks the reparametrization invariance explicitly. Then we can write it as $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + (m+\chi)\frac{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta}{\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta}} - \chi$ and using $\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = 1$ we get $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + (m+\chi)g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta - \chi$. One can fix χ to be $-m/2$ by requiring that $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + m\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v^\alpha v^\beta}$ and $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + (m+\chi)g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta - \chi$ give the same Euler-Lagrange equations. This results in the familiar equivalent Lagrangian: $L = qA_\alpha v^\alpha + \frac{m}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta$.

If we focus on a specific n^{th}-term of re-parametrization invariant Lagrangian (5), that is, $L = (S_n(v))^{1/n}$ in the parametrization gauge $S_n(v) = const$ then the equations of motion are:

$$S_{n/\alpha/\beta}(v)\frac{dv^\beta}{d\tau} = S_{n,\alpha}(v) - S_{n/\alpha,\beta}v^\beta.$$

Here $S_{n,\alpha}$ denotes partial derivative with respect to x^α when $S_{n/\alpha}$denotes partial derivative with respect to v^α. From this expression it is clear that $n = 2$ is a model that results in velocity independent symmetric tensor $S_{n/\alpha/\beta}(v)$ that can be associated with the metric tensor. In general $S_{n/\alpha/\beta}(v)$ goes as v^{n-2} which will result in an interesting behavior for $n > 2$: at velocities that approach zero ($v \to 0$) the acceleration grows as $\frac{1}{v^{n-2}}$.

To further illustrate our point and to gain better understanding of the $S_n(v)$ terms we assume:

- Rotational symmetry, that is: $S_n(v) = f(t,r,w,v)$ where $w = dt/d\tau$ and $v = dr/d\tau$,
- Static fields, that is: $S_n(v) = f(r,w,v)$,
- Inertial coordinate system in the sense of Newtonian like space and time separation, that is: $S_{t\ldots tr\ldots r} = 0$ except for $S_{t\ldots t}$ and $S_{r\ldots r}$ components:

$$S_n(v) = \psi(r)w^n + \phi(r)v^n.$$

This way the corresponding equations of motion for $L = S_n(v)$ are:

$$\frac{dv}{d\tau} = -\frac{v^2\phi'(r)}{n\phi(r)} + \frac{1}{v^{n-2}}\frac{w^n\psi'(r)}{(n-1)\phi(r)}, \frac{dw}{d\tau} = -\frac{wv\psi'(r)}{(n-1)\psi(r)}.$$

The physics interpretation of such equations of motion is that an observer cannot study a particle that is in absolute rest with respect to the observer because this would mean that such particle has an infinite acceleration. This sounds very similar to the uncertainty principle in quantum mechanics. Such terms with $n > 2$ play important role in the derivation of the Dirac equation via $v \to \gamma$ quantization which will be discussed in the second part of this article (for preliminary results see [25] and [33]).

Not being able to observe a particle at rest seems somewhat in contradiction to our classical physics reality. However, the more appropriate Lagrangian should take into account that 'empty space' has Minkowski geometry:

$$L = m\sqrt{\eta_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} + \delta\sqrt[n]{S_n(\vec{v},...,\vec{v})}.$$

Here $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = (1,-1,...,-1)$ is the Lorentz invariant metric tensor. For Lagrangians that contain gravity ($S_2(v)$

term) the problem for special velocity limit $v \to 0$ does not exist. In the non-relativistic limit ($v \to 0$), the present model of pure S_n interaction in Minkowski space-time results in acceleration $\frac{dv}{d\tau}$ that is the same up to $O(v^2)$ terms for $L = const$ parametrization as well as for $\sqrt{\eta_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = const$ parametrization. Thus the non-relativistic limit cannot distinguish these two choices of parametrization.

It was mentioned before that for homogeneous Lagrangians of order α we have $H = (\alpha - 1)L$ and thus $dL/d\lambda = 0$ except for $\alpha = 1$ that singles out homogeneous Lagrangians of first order. When working with re-parametrization invariant Lagrangian, one can chose parametrization so that $Ld\lambda = d\tau$ or effectively $L(x, v) = const$. This brings the homogeneous Lagrangians of first order back in the family $dL/d\lambda = 0$. If we don't know the structure of L this seems to be the choice to be made.

It seems, however, that $\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = const$ is preferred as physically more relevant due to its connection to the lifetime of elementary particles. Especially, due to the lack of experimental evidence that lifetime of charged elementary particle is affected by the presence of electromagnetic fields. This can be related to the observation that for any Lagrangian of the form $L = v^\mu A_\mu(x, v)$, where x is space-time coordinate and v is a world-line velocity vector (4-vector for 3+1 space-time), one can define a velocity dependent metric $g_{\alpha\beta}(x, v) = A_{\alpha/\beta}(x, v) + A_{\beta/\alpha}(x, v)$ where $A_{\beta/\alpha}(x, v)$ denotes partial derivative with respect to v^α of $A_\beta(x, v)$. Then one can show that $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(v^\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}(x, v)\, v^\beta\right) = 0$ along the trajectory determined by the Euler-Lagrange equation for $L = v^\mu A_\mu(x, v)$. This metric $g_{\alpha\beta}(x, v)$ does not depend on the velocity independent electromagnetic vector potential $A_\mu(x)$ and thus the length of the vector as calculated with $g_{\alpha\beta}(x, v)$ is not affected by the presence of electromagnetic interaction. For homogeneous Lagrangians of first order, however, one has $v^\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}(x, v)\, v^\beta = 0$ because $A_\mu(x, v)$ is a homogeneous function of zero degree and thus $v^\beta A_{\mu/\beta}(x, v) = 0$.

In this respect for homogeneous Lagrangians of first order, it is not clear if one has to chose the parametrization so that $L = const$, or $\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta} = const$, or $L - A_\mu(x)v^\mu = const$. The choice $L - A_\mu(x)v^\mu = const$ may very well be the appropriate choice since the weak and the strong forces do have effect on the lifetime of elementary particles; for example, neutrons are unstable in free space but stable within the nuclei. In connection to this we note that the other terms beyond gravity (S_n with $n > 2$) are seemingly related to the internal degrees of freedom of the elementary particles. This becomes more clear once a non-commutative quantization ($v \to \gamma$) is applied to the re-parametrization invariant Lagrangian. This, however, will be discussed elsewhere, for some preliminary results see [25] and [33].

To conclude this section, one may naively extrapolate the scale at which such new forces may be dominant. Considering that electromagnetic forces are relevant at atomic and molecular scale, when gravity is dominating the solar system and galactic scales, then one may deduce that terms beyond gravity may be relevant at galactic and intergalactic scales. Along this line, a possible determination of the structure of such forces from the velocity distribution of stars in galaxies is an interesting possibility. In this respect, such forces can be of relevance to the dark matter and dark energy cosmology problems. The pathological $dv/d\tau \to \infty$ when $v \to 0$ behavior of pure S_n for $n > 2$ interactions could also be of relevance to inflation models. Finally, as already mentioned, such terms are essential in the discussion of the Dirac equation when we consider the quantization of the homogeneous Lagrangians of first order.

V. D-DIMENSIONAL EXTENDED OBJECTS

In the previous sections, we have discussed the classical mechanics of a point-like particle as a problem concerned with the embedding $\phi : \mathbb{R}^1 \to M$. The map ϕ provides the trajectory (the word line) of the particle in the target space M. In this sense, we are dealing with a 0-brane that is a one dimensional object. Although time is kept in mind as an extra dimension, we do not insist on any special structure associate with a time flow. We think of an extended object as a manifold D with dimension, denoted also by D, $\dim D = D = d+1$ where $d = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. In this sense, we have to solve for $\phi : D \to M$ such that some action integral is minimized. From this point of view, we are dealing with mechanics of a d-brane. In other words, how is this D-dimensional extended object submerged in M, and what are the relevant interaction fields? By using the coordinate charts on M ($x : M \to \mathbb{R}^m$), we also can think of this as a field theory over the D-manifold with a local fiber $\mathbb{R}^m$. Thus the field $\vec{\phi}$ is such that $\phi^\alpha = x \circ \phi : D \to M \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Following the relativistic point particle discussion, we consider the space of the D-forms over the manifold M, denoted by $\Lambda^D(M)$, that has dimension $\binom{m}{D} = \frac{m!}{D!(m-D)!}$. An element Ω in $\Lambda^D(M)$ has the form $\Omega = \Omega_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_D} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge dx^{\alpha_2} \wedge \ldots dx^{\alpha_D}$. We use an arbitrary label Γ to index different D-forms over M, $\Gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, \binom{m}{D}$; thus $\Omega \to \Omega^\Gamma = \Omega^\Gamma_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_D} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge dx^{\alpha_2} \wedge \ldots dx^{\alpha_D}$. Next we introduce "*generalized velocity vectors*" with components ω^Γ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^\Gamma &= \frac{\Omega^\Gamma}{dz} = \Omega^\Gamma_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_D} \frac{\partial\left(x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\ldots x^{\alpha_D}\right)}{\partial(z^1 z^2 \ldots z^D)}, \\
dz &= dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^D.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expression, $\frac{\partial(x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\ldots x^{\alpha_D})}{\partial(z^1z^2\ldots z^D)}$ represents the Jacobian of the transformation from coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$ over the manifold M to coordinates $\{z^a\}$ over the d-brane. The pull back of a D-form Ω^Γ must be proportional to the volume form over the d-brane:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^*\left(\Omega^\Gamma\right) &= \omega^\Gamma dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^D = \\
&= \Omega^\Gamma_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_D} \frac{\partial\left(x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\ldots x^{\alpha_D}\right)}{\partial(z^1 z^2 \ldots z^D)} dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^D.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is suitable for integration over the D-manifold. Thus the action for ϕ is

$$S[\phi]=\int_D L\left(\vec{\phi},\vec{\omega}\right)dz=\int_D \phi^*(\Omega)=\int_D A_\Gamma(\vec{\phi})\omega^\Gamma dz.$$

This is a homogeneous function in ω and is reparametrization (diffeomorphism) invariant with respect to the diffeomorphisms of the D-manifold. If we relax the linearity $L(\vec{\phi},\vec{\omega})=\phi^*(\Omega)=A_\Gamma(\vec{\phi})\omega^\Gamma$ in $\vec{\omega}$, then the canonical expression for the homogeneous Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned} L\left(\vec{\phi},\vec{\omega}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sqrt[n]{S_n\left(\vec{\omega},...,\vec{\omega}\right)}= \qquad (7)\\ &= A_\Gamma\omega^\Gamma+\sqrt{g_{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}\omega^{\Gamma_1}\omega^{\Gamma_2}}+...\sqrt[m]{S_m\left(\vec{\omega},...,\vec{\omega}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, there is a strong analogy between the relativistic point particle and the d-brane. However, there is a difference in the number of components; $\vec{x},\vec{v}$, and $\vec{\phi}=\vec{x}\circ\phi$ have the same number of components, but the "generalized velocity" $\vec{\omega}$ has $\binom{\dim M}{\dim D}$ components which are Jacobians [28].

Some specific examples of d-brane theories correspond to the following familiar Lagrangians:

- The Lagrangian for a 0-brane (relativistic point particle in an electromagnetic field, $\dim D=1$ and $\omega^\Gamma\to v^\alpha=\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau}$) is:

$$L\left(\vec{\phi},\vec{\omega}\right)=A_\Gamma\omega^\Gamma+\sqrt{g_{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}\omega^{\Gamma_1}\omega^{\Gamma_2}}\to L\left(\vec{x},\vec{v}\right)$$

$$L\left(\vec{x},\vec{v}\right)=qA_\alpha v^\alpha+m\sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta}.$$

- The Lagrangian for a 1-brane (strings, $\dim D=2$) [4] is:

$$L\left(x^\alpha,\partial_i x^\beta\right)=\sqrt{Y^{\alpha\beta}Y_{\alpha\beta}},$$

  using the notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^\Gamma\to Y^{\alpha\beta}=\frac{\partial(x^\alpha,x^\beta)}{\partial(\tau,\sigma)}=\det\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau x^\alpha & \partial_\sigma x^\alpha\\ \partial_\tau x^\beta & \partial_\sigma x^\beta\end{pmatrix}=\\ =\partial_\tau x^\alpha\partial_\sigma x^\beta-\partial_\sigma x^\alpha\partial_\tau x^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

- The Lagrangian for a d-brane has the Dirac-Nambu-Goto term (DNG) [29]:

$$L\left(x^\alpha,\partial_D x^\beta\right)=\sqrt{Y^\Gamma Y_\Gamma}.$$

Notice that most of the Lagrangians above, except for the relativistic particle, are restricted only to gravity-like interactions. In the case of the charged relativistic particle, the electromagnetic interaction is very important. The corresponding interaction term for d-banes is know as Wess-Zumino term [30].

VI. THE BACKGROUND FIELDS AND THEIR LAGRANGIANS

The uniqueness of the interaction fields and their source types has been essential for the selection of the matter Lagrangian (7). The first two terms in the Lagrangian are easily identified as electromagnetic and gravitational interaction. The other terms describe new classical forces. It is not yet clear if these new terms are actually present in nature or not, so we will not engage them actively in the following discussion, but our aim is to start preparing the stage for such research. At this point, we have a theory with background fields since we don't know the equations for the interaction fields. To complete the theory, we need to introduce actions for these interaction fields.

One way to write the action integrals for the interaction fields S_n in (7) follows the case of the d-brane discussion. There, we have been solving for $\phi: D\to M$ by selecting a Lagrangian that is more than a pull back of a D-form over the manifold M. In a similar way, we may view S_n as an M-brane field theory, where $S_n: M\to S_nM$ and S_nM is the fiber of symmetric tensors of rank n over M. This approach, however, cannot terminate itself since new interaction fields would be generated as in the case of $\phi: D\to M$.

Another way assumes that A_Γ is an n-form. Thus we may use the external algebra structure $\Lambda\left(T^*M\right)$ over M to construct objects proportional to the volume form over M. For any n -form (A) objects proportional to the volume form Ω_{Vol} can be constructed by using operations in $\Lambda\left(T^*M\right)$, such as the external derivative d, external multiplication $\wedge$, and the Hodge dual $*$. For example, $A\wedge *A$ and $dA\wedge *dA$ are forms proportional to the volume form.

The next important ingredient comes from the symmetry in the matter equation. That is, if there is a transformation $A\to A'$ that leaves the matter equations unchanged, then there is no way to distinguish A and A'. Thus the action for the field A should obey the same symmetry (gauge symmetry).

For example, the matter equation for 4D electromagnetic interaction is $d\vec{v}/d\tau=F\cdot\vec{v}$ where F is the 2-form obtained by differentiation of the 1-form A ($F=dA$), and the gauge symmetry for A is $A\to A'=A+df$. The reasonable terms for a 1-form field in the field Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(A)$ are: $A\wedge *A$, $dA\wedge dA$, and $dA\wedge *dA$. The first term does not conform with the gauge symmetry $A\to A'=A+df$ and the second term ($dA\wedge dA$) is a boundary term since $dA\wedge dA=d\left(A\wedge dA\right)$ that gives $\int_M d\left(A\wedge dA\right)=A\wedge dA$ at the boundary of M. This term is interesting in the quantum Hall effect. Therefore, we are left with a unique action for electromagnetism:

$$S[A]=\int_M dA\wedge *dA=\int_M F\wedge *F.$$

For our next example, we look at the terms in the matter equation that involve gravity. There are two possible choices of matter equation. The first one is the geodesic equation $d\vec{v}/d\tau = \vec{v} \cdot \Gamma \cdot \vec{v}$ where Γ is considered as a connection 1-form that transforms in the usual way $\Gamma \to \Gamma + \partial g$ under coordinate transformations with the group element g. This type of transformation, however, is not a 'good' symmetry since restricting $\Gamma \to \Gamma + \Sigma$ to transformations $\Sigma = \partial g$, such that $\vec{v} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \vec{v} = 0$, would mean to select a subset of coordinate systems, inertial systems, for which the action S is well defined and satisfies $S\left[\Gamma\right] = S\left[\Gamma + \Sigma\right]$. Selecting a class of coordinate systems for the description of a system is not desirable, so we shall not follow this road.

In general, the Euler-Lagrange equations assume a background observer who defines the coordinate system. For electromagnetism, this is tolerable since neutral particles are such privileged observers. In gravity, however, there is no such observer, and the equation for matter should be relational. Such an equation is the equation of the geodesic deviation: $d^2\vec{\xi}/d\tau^2 = R \cdot \vec{\xi}$, where R is a Lie algebra (TM) valued curvature 2-form $R = d\Gamma + [\Gamma, \Gamma]$. A general curvature 2-form is denoted by $F \to \left(F_{\alpha\beta}\right)^i_j$. Here, α and β are related to the tangental space (TM) of the base manifold M. The i and j are related to the fiber structure of the bundle over M where the connection that defines $\left(F_{\alpha\beta}\right)^i_j$ is given. Clearly, the Ricci tensor R is a very special curvature because all of its indices are of TM type. For that reason, it is possible to contract the fiber degree of freedom with the base manifold degree of freedom (indices). Thus an action linear in R is possible. In general, one needs to consider a quadratic action, i.e. trace of $F \wedge *F$ ($F^i_{\alpha\beta j} \wedge *F^j_{\alpha\beta i}$).

Using the symmetries of the Ricci tensor R ($R_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\rho} = -R_{\beta\alpha,\gamma\rho} = -R_{\alpha\beta,\rho\gamma} = R_{\gamma\rho,\alpha\beta}$) we have two possible expressions that can be proportional to the volume form Ω. The first expression is present in all dimensions and is denoted by R^*, which means that a Hodge dual operation has been applied to the second pair of indices ($R_{\alpha\beta,*(\gamma\rho)}$). The R^* action seems to be related to the Cartan-Einstein action for gravity $S\left[R\right] = \int R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge *(dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta)$ [31].

The other expression is only possible in a four-dimensional space-time and involves full anti-symmetrization of R ($R_{\alpha[\beta,\gamma]\rho}$) denoted by $R^\wedge$. The role and implications of such $R^\wedge$ term is not yet clear to us. It would be interesting to study the renormalizability of a theory with such a term and if its presence only in four-dimensional space-time has anything to do with the actual dimension of the physical space-time. However, a statistical argument [11, 32] based on geometric and differential structure of various brane and target spaces seems to be a better explanation for why we are living in a 4D space-time.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In summary, we have discussed the structure of the matter Lagrangian (L) for extended objects. Imposing reparametrization invariance of the action S naturally leads to a first order homogeneous Lagrangian. In its canonical form, L contains electromagnetic and gravitational interactions, as well as interactions that are not clearly identified yet. If one extrapolates from the strengths of the two known interactions, then one may suggest that the next terms should be important, if present at all, at big cosmological scales, such as galactic cluster dynamics. If such forces are not present in nature one needs to understand why is that so. The choice of the canonical Lagrangian is based on the assumption of one-to-one correspondence between interaction fields and the type of their sources. If one can show that any homogeneous function can be written in the canonical form suggested, then this would be a significant step in our understanding of the fundamental interactions. Note that an equivalent expression can be considered as well: $L = A_\alpha(\vec{x}, \vec{v})v^\alpha$. This expression is simpler, and is concerned with the structure of the homogeneous functions of order zero $A_\alpha(\vec{x}, \vec{v})$. If one is going to study the new interaction fields $S_n, n > 2$, then the guiding principles for writing field Lagrangians, as discussed in the examples of electromagnetism and gravity, may be useful. It would be interesting to apply the outlined constructions to general relativity by considering it as a 3-brane in a 10 dimensional target space ($g_{\alpha\beta} : M \to S_2M$).

Acknowledgments. This work is partially performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. The main research was mostly performed at Louisiana State University. The author acknowledges helpful discussions with Professors R. Haymaker, L. Smolinsky, A. R. P. Rau, P. Kirk, J. Pullin, R. O'Connell, C. Torre, J. Baez, P. Al. Nikolov, E. M. Prodanov, G. Dunne, and L. I. Gould and the financial support from the Department of Physics and Astronomy and the Graduate School at the Louisiana State University, the U. S. National Science Foundation support under Grant No. PHY-9970769 and Cooperative Agreement No. EPS-9720652 with matching from the Louisiana Board of Regents Support Fund.

[1] C. W. Kilmister, *Lagrangian Dynamics: an Introduction for Students*, (Plenum Press, New York, 1967).

[2] H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics*, (Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1980).

[3] X. Gràcia., J. M. Pons, *Singular Lagrangians: some geometric structures along the Legendre map*, J. Phys. A **34**, 3047 (2001).

[4] I. Nikitin, *Introduction to String Theory*, http://viswiz.gmd.de/~nikitin/course/node2.html.

[5] J. F. Cariñena, L. A. Ibort, Marmo, A. G., Sternd, *The*

Feynman problem and the inverse problem for Poisson dynamics, Phys. Rep. **263**,153 (1995).

[6] H. Rund, *The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the calculus of variations: its role in mathematics and physics*, (Van Nostrand, Huntington, N.Y., 1966).

[7] C. Lanczos, *The variational principles of mechanics*, 4th ed., (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1970).

[8] W. Pauli, *Theory of relativity*, (Pergamon Press, New York. 1958).

[9] N. M. Borstnik, H. B. Nielsen, *Why odd-space and odd-time dimensions in even-dimensional spaces?*, Phys. Lett. **B486**, 314 (2000).

[10] H. van Dam, Y. J. Ng, *Why 3+1 metric rather than 4+0 or 2+2?* , Phys. Lett. **B520**, 159 (2001).

[11] C. Sachoglu, *Fake* R^4 *s, Einstein spaces and Seiberg–Witten monopole equations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 3287 (2001).

[12] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leightoon, M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, (Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1965).

[13] E. Gerjuoy, A. R. P Rau, L. Spruch, *A unified formulation of the construction of variational principles*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 725 (1983).

[14] M. Rivas, Generalized Lagrangians and spinning particles, physics/0106023.

[15] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Theory of Gravitation in Hamiltonian Form*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **246**, 333 (1958).

[16] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Volume 2, 4th rev. English ed., (Pergamon Press, Oxford, N. Y. 1975).

[17] S. Hojman, H. Harleston, *Equivalent Lagrangians: Multidimensional case*, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 1414 (1981).

[18] R. v. B. Rucker, *Geometry, Relativity and the Fourth Dimension*, (Dover, New Yor., 1977).

[19] J. Magueijo, L. Smolin, *Lorentz Invariance with an Invariant Energy Scale*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 190403 (2002).

[20] H. Kleinert, *Path Collapse In Feynman Formula- Stable Path Integral Formula From Local Time Reparametrization Invariant Amplitude*, Phys. Lett. **B224**, 313 (1989).

[21] P. A. M. Dirac, *Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **246**, 326 (1958).

[22] C. Teitelboim, *Quantum mechanics of the gravitational field*, Phys. Rev. D **25**,3159 (1982).

[23] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems*, (Princeton University, Princeton, N.J., 1992).

[24] K. Sundermeyer, *Constrained dynamics*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).

[25] V. G. Gueorguiev, Matter, Fields, and Reparametrization-Invariant Systems, *4th Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization*, Sts. Constantine and Elena resort, Bulgaria, math-ph/0210022.

[26] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Large Numbers Hypothesis and the Einstein Theory of Gravitation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **365**, 19 (1979).

[27] J. D. Bekenstein, *Relation between physical and gravitational geometry*, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3641 (1993).

[28] D. B. Fairlie, T. Ueno, *Covariant formulation of field theories associated with p-branes*, J. Phys. A **34** 3037 (2001).

[29] M. Pavsic, *The landscape of theoretical physics*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 2001).

[30] P. Bozhilov, *Probe branes dynamics: exact solutions in general backgrounds*, Nucl. Phys. **B656**,199 (2003), (hep-th/0211181).

[31] M. Adak, T. Dereli, L. H. Ryder, *Neutrino oscillations induced by spacetime torsion*, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 1503 (2001).

[32] V. G. Gueorguiev, *The relativistic particle and its d-brane cousins*, Conference proceedings of Gravity, Astrophysics, and Strings, eds. P. P. Fiziev and M. D. Todorov, (St. Kliment Ohridski University Press, Sofia, 2003), (math-ph/0210021).

[33] V. G. Gueorguiev, *Aspects of Diffeomorphism Invariant Theory of Extended Objects*, in QUANTUM THEORY AND SYMMETRIES, Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 10 - 14 September 2003, edited by P. C. Argyres et al (World Scientific, 2004).